Case4:12-cv-02488-YGR Document46 Filed09/12/12 Page1 of 3

1 2	DOUGLAS E. LUMISH (Bar No. 183863) dlumish@kasowitz.com GABRIEL S. GROSS (Bar No. 254672)		
3	ggross@kasowitz.com KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &		
4	FRIEDMAN LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 200		
5	Redwood Shores, California 94065 Tel: (650) 453-5170; Fax: (650) 453-5171		
6	MICHELLE L. LANDRY (Bar No. 190080)		
7	mlandry@kasowitz.com KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &		
8	FRIEDMAN LLP 101 California Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: (415) 421-6140; Fax: (415) 398-5030		
10			
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defe Monster, Inc.	endant	
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16			
17	MONSTER, INC, a California corporation,		
18	Plaintiff and Counterclaim-	Case No. 12-CV-2488 YGR	
19	Defendant,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF NO	
20	v.	DILUTION CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
21	DOLBY LABORATORIES LICENSING CORPORATION, a New York corporation,	1111002101	
22	Defendant and	Jury Trial Demanded	
23	Counterclaimant.	Original Complaint Filed: Nov. 8, 2011	
24			
25			
26	Traintiff and Counterclaim-Descridant Worlster, Inc. (Worlster) and Descridant and		
27	Counterestainment Botoy Europationes Electising Corporation (Botoy), by and unough then		
28	counsel of record, hereby submit this supulation and proposed order dismissing without prejudice		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF NO DILUTION CLAIMS WITHOUT REJUDICE	CASE NO. 12-CV-2488 YGR	

Monster's claims for declaratory judgment of no dilution of Dolby's trademarks pursuant to Rule 41(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.

WHEREAS, Dolby filed an action before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB") on or about February 16, 2011, opposing registration of Monster's stylized M Headphone Mark, U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 77/904698 (the "M Headphone Mark") and alleging that the Monster M Headphone Mark infringes and dilutes Dolby's registered design mark, U.S. Reg. No. 2,649,051 (the "Dolby DD Headphone Mark") (the "TTAB Action");

WHEREAS, the TTAB Action has been suspended pending the outcome of the above-captioned action;

WHEREAS, Monster filed its Amended Complaint for Declaratory Relief (D.N. 42) in the above-captioned action on or about September 4, 2012, seeking, *inter alia*, declaratory judgments that its use of the M Headphone Mark does not infringe or dilute any trademark rights of Dolby under federal or state law;

WHEREAS, in its Counterclaims in this action, Dolby has not asserted or pursued any claims for trademark dilution;

WHEREAS, through their counsel of record, the parties have met and conferred and Dolby has confirmed to Monster that Dolby will not pursue claims for trademark dilution in connection with this action or the pending TTAB Action, under federal or state law;

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT:

- 1. Monster hereby withdraws without prejudice its claims for declarations of no dilution under federal and state law:
- 2. So long as Monster does not assert any claim of non-dilution, Dolby will not claim or argue in this action that Monster's M Headphone Mark dilutes any trademark rights of Dolby;
- 3. In the TTAB Action, Dolby will not request a finding that Monster's M Headphone Mark dilutes any trademark rights of Dolby, and will take any steps necessary to withdraw and/or have dismissed any claims for or allegations of dilution in that proceeding;
- 4. Monster's Third and Fourth Counts of its Amended Complaint, seeking declaratory judgments of no trademark dilution under federal and state law, respectively, are

Case4:12-cv-02488-YGR Document46 Filed09/12/12 Page3 of 3

1	hereby dismissed without prejudice.	
2	PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' AGREEMENT, IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3	Dated: September 12, 2012 KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &	
4	FRIEDMAN LLP	
5	By: /s/ Gabriel S. Gross	
6	Douglas E. Lumish Gabriel S. Gross	
7	Michelle L. Landry Robert P. Watkins, III (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-	
9	Defendant Monster, Inc.	
10 11	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
12	By: /s/ Carlos M. Lazatin	
13	George A. Riley Carlos M. Lazatin	
14	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim-	
15	Plaintiff Dolby Laboratories Licensing Corp.	
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
17		
18	Dated: September, 2012	
19	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers	
20	United States District Court Judge	
21		
22		
2324		
25	I hereby attest pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1 that concurrence in the electronic filing of	
26	this document has been obtained from the other signatories.	
27		
28	/s/ Gabriel S. Gross Gabriel S. Gross	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF NO DILUTION CLAIMS WITHOUT PREJUDICE - 3 - CASE NO. 12-CV-2488 YGR	